Tree-like graphings of countable Borel equivalence relations

An exposition to

Tree-like graphings, wallings, and median graphings of equivalence relations

by Ruiyuan Chen, Antoine Poulin, Ran Tao, and Anush Tserunyan

Zhaoshen Zhai

McGill University

October 1, 2024

Countable Borel equivalence relations

Definition

A *countable Borel equivalence relation (CBER)* on a standard Borel space X is a Borel equivalence relation $E \subseteq X^2$ such that each E-class is countable.

Countable Borel equivalence relations

Definition

A *countable Borel equivalence relation (CBER)* on a standard Borel space X is a Borel equivalence relation $E \subseteq X^2$ such that each E-class is countable.

Example

Any Borel action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ of a countable (discrete) group on a standard Borel space induces its *orbit equivalence relation* E_Γ^X, which is a CBER.

Countable Borel equivalence relations

Definition

A *countable Borel equivalence relation (CBER)* on a standard Borel space X is a Borel equivalence relation $E \subseteq X^2$ such that each E-class is countable.

Example

Any Borel action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ of a countable (discrete) group on a standard Borel space induces its *orbit equivalence relation* E_Γ^X, which is a CBER.





Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$-coset equivalence on $\mathbb{R}$: $xE_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}}y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$-coset equivalence on $\mathbb{R}$: $x E_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}} y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example (Hyperfinite)

E_0 on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $x E_0 y$ iff $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m$.

Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$-coset equivalence on $\mathbb{R}$: $xE_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}}y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example (Hyperfinite)

E_0 on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where xE_0y iff $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m$.

This CBER is *hyperfinite*: $E_0 = \bigcup_n F_n$ for an increasing sequence $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \cdots$ of finite Borel equivalence relations:

Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$-coset equivalence on $\mathbb{R}$: $x E_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}} y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example (Hyperfinite)

E_0 on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $x E_0 y$ iff $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m$.

This CBER is *hyperfinite*: $E_0 = \bigcup_n F_n$ for an increasing sequence $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \cdots$ of finite Borel equivalence relations:

$$x F_n y \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m.$$

Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$-coset equivalence on $\mathbb{R}$: $xE_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}}y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example (Hyperfinite)

E_0 on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where xE_0y iff $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m$.

This CBER is *hyperfinite*: $E_0 = \bigcup_n F_n$ for an increasing sequence $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \cdots$ of finite Borel equivalence relations:

$$xF_ny \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m.$$

Theorem (Slaman-Steel, Weiss)

Let E be a CBER on a standard Borel space X. TFAE:

1. *E is hyperfinite.* *$E = \bigcup_n F_n$ where $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \cdots$ are FBERs.*
2. *E is induced by a Borel $\mathbb{Z}$-action.* *$E = E_{\mathbb{Z}}^X$ for some $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X$.*

Smooth and hyperfinite CBERs

Example (Smooth)

- Identity relation on a standard Borel space, say $\mathbb{R}$ or $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}$-coset equivalence on $\mathbb{R}$: $xE_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}}y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example (Hyperfinite)

E_0 on $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where xE_0y iff $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall m \geq n : x_m = y_m$.



Graphing of a CBER

Definition

A *graphing* of a CBER E on X is a Borel graph $G \subseteq X^2$ whose connectedness relation is E ($xEy \leftrightarrow xG \cdots Gy$ for all $x, y \in X$).

Graphing of a CBER

Definition

A *graphing* of a CBER E on X is a Borel graph $G \subseteq X^2$ whose connectedness relation is E ($xEy \leftrightarrow xG \cdots Gy$ for all $x, y \in X$).



Treeing of a CBER

Definition

A *treeing* of a CBER E is an acyclic graphing, and a CBER E is said to be *treeable* if it admits a treeing.



Treeable CBERs

Example

Free actions of a free group $F_r \curvearrowright X$.



Treeable CBERs



Example

Free actions of a free group $F_r \curvearrowright X$.

Theorem (JKL02)

Free actions of virtually-free groups are treeable.

Treeable CBERs



Example

Free actions of a free group $F_r \curvearrowright X$.

Theorem (JKL02)

Free actions of virtually-free groups are treeable.

Theorem (GdlH90)

Every finitely-generated group whose Cayley graph is a quasi-tree is virtually-free, and hence treeable.

Treeable CBERs



Example

Free actions of a free group $F_r \curvearrowright X$.

Theorem (JKL02)

Free actions of virtually-free groups are treeable.

Theorem (GdlH90)

Every finitely-generated group whose Cayley graph is a quasi-tree is virtually-free, and hence treeable.

Question (Robin Tucker-Drob; 2015)

Is the class of treeable CBERs robust under quasi-isometries?

Main result

Theorem (Chen, Poulin, Tao, Tserunyan; 2023+)

If a CBER E admits a locally-finite graphing such that each component is a quasi-tree, then E is treeable.

Main result

Theorem (Chen, Poulin, Tao, Tserunyan; 2023+)

If a CBER E admits a locally-finite graphing such that each component is a quasi-tree, then E is treeable.

Two metric spaces X, Y are *quasi-isometric* if they are isometric up to a bounded multiplicative and additive error; X is a *quasi-tree* if it is quasi-isometric to a tree.



Game plan

Quasi-treeing

Treeing

Game plan

Quasi-treeing → Quasi-tree

Treeing



Game plan

Quasi-treeing → Quasi-tree → Finitely-sep. family of cuts

Treeing



Game plan

Quasi-treeing → Quasi-tree → Finitely-sep. family of cuts

Treeing

Game plan

Quasi-treeing → Treeing

Quasi-treeing
↓
Quasi-tree ⟶ Finitely-sep. family of cuts ⟶ Median graph w/ finite hyperplanes



Game plan



Quasi-treeing
Treeing
Quasi-tree
Finitely-sep.
family of cuts
Median graph w/
finite hyperplanes
'Canonical'
spanning tree



Game plan


Quasi-treeing
Dense family of cuts
Treeing
Quasi-tree
Finitely-sep. family of cuts
Median graph w/ finite hyperplanes
‘Canonical’ spanning tree



Game plan


Quasi-treeing
Dense family of cuts
Treeing
Quasi-tree
Finitely-sep. family of cuts
Median graph w/ finite hyperplanes
'Canonical' spanning tree



Definition

A *cut* in a connected locally-finite graph (X, G) is a connected co-connected subset $H \subseteq X$ with finite boundary.



Finitely-separating cuts

Definition

A *cut* in a connected locally-finite graph (X, G) is a connected co-connected subset $H \subseteq X$ with finite boundary.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of cuts such that if $H \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\neg H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Finitely-separating cuts

Definition

A *cut* in a connected locally-finite graph (X, G) is a connected co-connected subset $H \subseteq X$ with finite boundary.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of cuts such that if $H \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\neg H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition

Such a family $\mathcal{H}$ is *finitely-separating* if for each $x, y \in X$, there are finitely-many $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with $x \in H \not\ni y$.

Orientations

Definition

An *orientation* on $\mathcal{H}$ is an upward-closed subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ containing exactly one of $H, \neg H$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$.



Orientations

Definition

An *orientation* on $\mathcal{H}$ is an upward-closed subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ containing exactly one of $H, \neg H$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$.



We'll only consider the orientations that are *based*, in the sense that each $H \in U$ contains a minimal $H_0 \in U$.

The dual median graph

Definition

A *median graph* is a connected graph (X, G) such that for each $x, y, z \in X$, the intersection $[x, y] \cap [x, z] \cap [y, z]$ is a singleton, called the *median* of x, y, z, and is denoted by $\langle x, y, z \rangle$.



The dual median graph

Definition

A *median graph* is a connected graph (X, G) such that for each $x, y, z \in X$, the intersection $[x, y] \cap [x, z] \cap [y, z]$ is a singleton, called the *median* of x, y, z, and is denoted by $\langle x, y, z \rangle$.

Theorem (Sageev 95)

If $\mathcal{H}$ is finitely-separating, then the graph $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$:

- *Vertices are based orientations on $\mathcal{H}$;*
- *Neighbors of U are $U \triangle \{H, \neg H\}$ for each minimal $H \in U \setminus \{\neg 0\}$;*

is a median graph.

Ends of graphs

Definition

The *end compactification* of a connected locally-finite (X, G) is the Stone space $\widehat{X}$ of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\partial<\infty}(X)$, whose non-principal ultrafilters are the *ends* of (X, G).



Ends of graphs

Definition

The *end compactification* of a connected locally-finite (X, G) is the Stone space $\widehat{X}$ of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\partial<\infty}(X)$, whose non-principal ultrafilters are the *ends* of (X, G).



Definition

A family $\mathcal{H}$ of cuts is *dense towards ends* of X if $\mathcal{H}$ contains a neighborhood basis for every end in $\widehat{X}$.

Density towards ends for quasi-trees

Lemma

The connected locally-finite graphs in which $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{diam}(\partial)\leq R}$ is dense towards ends for some $R < \infty$ is invariant under quasi-isometry.

Corollary

If (X, G) is a locally-finite quasi-tree, then the family

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{diam}(\partial)\leq R}(X) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{conn}}(X)$$

of cuts is dense towards ends for some $R < \infty$.



Wrapping things up...





The End

Thank you!